

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

**(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2013) KLR VOL 6 PART 332 pp. 2841 - 3092**

**JUNE 2013**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### ***JUNE CONTD.***

9. Afolabi v. State p. 2841
10. Aliyu v. State p. 2873
11. Babatunde v. State p. 2895
12. Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd. p. 2937
13. Madumere v. Okwara p. 2955
14. Ogboru v. Uduaghan p. 2981
15. Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha p. 3007
16. Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

### ***COURT OF APPEAL***

1. Continental Sales Ltd. v. R. Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA
2. Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 6 KLR**

AFFIDAVITS - Deposition - Proof - To substantiate the allegation against counsel - Evidence must be adduced to show that exhibit E was served on him (H3) *Ogboru v. Uduaghan* p. 2981

ALIBI - Plea of - Failure to investigate - Prosecution's case is not made fatal by every failure of police to investigate alibi - As accused alibi is demolished - Where there are sufficient evidence fixing him at crime scene at the material time (H2) *Aliyu v. State* p. 2873

ALIBI - Plea of - Investigation - Appellant did not fully disclose his whereabouts at the time of the incident to police - To warrant any investigation of his plea by the police (H1) *Aliyu v. State* p. 2873

APPEALS - Action - Jurisdiction - Pursuant to SC Act s. 22 - Suit No. K/750/2000 is struck out for being incompetent - As the process leading to the judgment therein was flawed - Hence the HC had no jurisdiction to entertain it (H4) *Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd.* p. 2937

APPEALS - Court - Finding - Failure to challenge - Where finding of lower court is not appealed against - The finding is deemed to be valid and subsisting - And appellate court will not disturb it (H1) *Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha* p. 3007

APPEALS - Election - Legislative Houses - Final court - CA has jurisdiction in matters under 1999 Constitution s. 246(a)(b)(c)(i)(ii)(iii) - And its decisions on appeals arising from the election - Shall be final (H5) *Opara v. Amadi* p. 3027

APPEALS - Evidence - Reevaluation - Where credibility of witnesses is not involved - But complaint is on improper evaluation - Appellate court is in a good position as trial court - To do its own evaluation (H3) *Babatunde v. State* p. 2895

APPEALS - Issue - Basis - Issue must emerge from appellant's valid grounds of appeal - And any issue framed outside such grounds - Is incompetent and liable to be struck out (H1) *Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd.* p. 2937

APPEALS - Issue - Formulation - Respondent's notice - Respondent can raise and argue issues in the notice - But cannot raise issue from the notice - Along with issues drawn from the grounds of appeal (H2) Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd. p. 2937

APPEALS - Issue - Suo motu raising - CA rightly raised the issue of competence or otherwise of the writ of summons - But erred for not inviting counsel for parties to address it on the issue (H3) Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd. p. 2937

APPEALS - Judgment - Correctness of - Court of Appeal meticulously arrived at its decision - Hence its judgment is unassailable - As the errors of the trial court have all been shown (H2) Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha p. 3007

APPEALS - Right of - Legislative Houses election - Appeal shall lie as of right from decisions of CA to SC - Only in items listed under 1999 Constitution s. 233(e)(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(v)(vi) - Thus SC has no jurisdiction to hear appeal on such election petitions (H4) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

APPEALS - Supreme Court - Preliminary objection - Purpose - If the objection is successful - Hearing in the appeal is brought to an end - So as to avoid engaging in futile venture (H1) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse - Meaning - Abuse features where a party improperly uses process of court - To the irritation and annoyance of his opponent (H1) Ogboru v. Uduaghan p. 2981

COURTS - Crime - Pretrial - CPL s. 340(2)(b) - A Judge is enjoined by the provision to examine proofs of evidence of offence - So as to determine whether or not the offence is one requiring to put accused on trial (H9) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

COURTS - Crime - Pretrial - Fair hearing - Breach - Fair hearing cannot be raised against quasi judicial function of a Judge - Being

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 6 KLR**

performed under CPL s. 340(2)(b) - As such does not constitute a trial (H10) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

COURTS - Process - Abuse - Prevention - Court has inherent power to ward off abuse of its process - For purpose of maintaining its sanctity and dignity (H5) Ogboru v. Uduaghan p. 2981

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Appeals - Concurrent findings - Appellant failed to displace the findings - As there is no proof of how the circumstantial evidence was weakened - By any co existing circumstances in the matter (H1) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conspiracy - Proof - Conspiracy is established once it is shown in evidence - That the alleged criminal design - Is common to all suspects (H6) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Identification parade - Appellant was not solely convicted on evidence obtained at the parade - As court relied on testimony of PW1 - That linked appellant with the offence (H5) Aliyu v. State p. 2873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification - Mistake in - Where accused alleges that there is mistake in his identity - Court must closely examine the evidence - As weakness discovered therein leads to giving accused the benefit of doubt (H3) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification parade - Correctness of - PW6 was not cross examined on the propriety or otherwise of the parade - And there is presumption of regularity of the parade under Evidence Act s. 168(1) (H6) Aliyu v. State p. 2873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification parade - When irrelevant - Where accused identified himself with commission of the offence - Need for the parade is completely ruled out (H4) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Number of witness - Prosecution is not bound to call a host of witnesses - As single witness believed by

court - Can establish a crime even in murder charge (H3) Aliyu v. State p. 2873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Vital witness - Where prosecution failed to call a particular witness considered vital - Accused is at liberty to call such witness (H4) Aliyu v. State p. 2873

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Robbery - Confession - Conviction - Accused can be convicted solely on his confession - Where same is direct and properly proved (H1) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Robbery - Sentence - Validity - Appellant suffered no miscarriage of justice - As CA rightly sentenced him to 21 years imprisonment - As provided under CPA s. 381 (H5) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

ELECTIONS - Legislative Houses election - Appeal - Final court - By 1999 Constitution s. 246(3) - CA decision in such election is final - And there cannot be a further appeal against same (H2) Madumere v. Okwara p. 2955

ELECTIONS - Legislative Houses election - Appeal - Specific provisions in 1999 Constitution s. 246(3) - Rather than general provisions in s. 233(2)(b)(c) - Donates and subscribes right to appeal - Against decision of CA arising from the election (H1) Madumere v. Okwara p. 2955

ELECTIONS - Pre election matters - Jurisdiction - It is only Federal and State High Courts that have jurisdiction in such matters - And judgment of Supreme Court is final in the matter (H6) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

FAIR HEARING - Fundamentality of - Constitution s. 36(1) -It's is a right embedded in the Constitution - And its infringement renders the proceedings a nullity ab initio (H8) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

JURISDICTION - Elections - Fundamental rights - Ifegwu's case - Appellant's right to seek redress for breach of his right is not termi-

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 6 KLR**

nated - By reason of CA final judgment in the election proceedings - As such right can be pursued in a competent court (H7) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

JURISDICTION - Fundamentality of - It is a threshold issue and once raised - It must be heard and resolved - As any proceeding conducted without jurisdiction - Amounts to a nullity (H2) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

LAND LAW - Evidence - Traditional history - Weight - Although such evidence is hearsay - But Evidence Act s. 44 provides that where title is in issue - Evidence of communal tradition concerning the title is relevant (H3) Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha p. 3007

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of land - Proof - Okolo v. Dakolo - Plaintiff succeeds only where he has ascertained identity of the land in dispute - And its boundaries with precision (H4) Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha p. 3007

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Authority - Conduct of case - Where counsel acts within scope of authority - Without express or implied limitation - Client is bound by exercise of such authority (H2) Ogboru v. Uduaghan p. 2981

MOTIONS - Striking out - Regularization of - Party whose motion is struck out - Can either file fresh application or apply for relisting - But the options do not avail applicants - Since their application constitutes abuse of process (H4) Ogboru v. Uduaghan p. 2981

MURDER - Circumstantial evidence - Weight - In absence of confession or eye witness account - Prosecution rightly resorted to circumstantial evidence to discharge onus of proof on it (H5) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

MURDER - Evidence - Inconsistencies - Effect - Minor discrepancies in evidence of PW3 - Did not destroy inferences from the circumstantial evidence - That culminated in conclusive findings that appellant killed the deceased (H6) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - To secure conviction prosecution must prove that deceased died - That the death was caused by accused - Whose act was intentional with knowledge that death will occur (H4) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

MURDER - Ingredients - Prosecution must prove that there is death - Which was caused by the accused - And that the act of accused was intentional (H7) Aliyu v. State p. 2873

MURDER - Proof - Circumstantial evidence - From the evidence it can be conclusively deduced that appellant intentionally killed the deceased - By inflicting grievous bodily harm on him (H7) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

ROBBERY & FIREARMS - Robbery - Definition of - By Robbery & Firearms Act s. 15 - Robbery means stealing - Using or threatening to use violence to person or property - In order to obtain and retain the thing stolen (H2) Afolabi v. State p. 2841

SUPREME COURT - Competence - Principles - The court is competent to hear the appeal if it is properly constituted - The subject matter is within its jurisdiction - And the case initiated by due process of law (H3) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

SUPREME COURT - Evidence - Evaluation - SC does not make primary findings of fact as to credibility of witnesses - But is entitled to draw inferences from accepted facts (H2) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

### ***COURT OF APPEAL***

APPEALS - Cross appeal - Purpose - Cross appeal is filed by respondent dissatisfied with a crucial finding in a case - To correct any error standing in the way of respondent in the main appeal (H7) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation - Trial court assesses credibility of

**viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 6 KLR CA**

witnesses - And CA does not interfere - Save where findings of trial court cannot be supported by evidence - Or is perverse (H8) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

ARBITRATION - Award - Registration - The arbitrator did not contravene the Arbitration Act s. 52 - To warrant a refusal to register the award by court (H3) Continental Sales Ltd. v. R. Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA

ARBITRATION - Fair hearing - Breach - Allegation of - The rule was not breached by the arbitrator - As appellant was given opportunity to be heard - But refused and neglected to do so (H2) Continental Sales Ltd. v. R. Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA

COMPUTER EVIDENCE - Banking - Document - Admissibility - Computer print out of bank statement of account is admissible in evidence - Save where witness fails to testify that he personally examined the statement - And compared it with original bank books (H4) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

COMPUTER EVIDENCE - Banking - Document - Admissibility - Weight - As there was substantial compliance with Evidence Act s. 97(2)(e) - The computer statements of account tendered by respondent are admissible (H6) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

CONTRACTS - Agreement - Written document - Oral evidence is inadmissible to alter the memorandum signed by the parties - On how the property should be shared (H2) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE - Arbitration - Notice of - Service by email - There is effective service on appellant by this means - As intention of the email was to communicate commencement of the proceedings - And its various stages (H1) Continental Sales Ltd. v. R. Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA

EVIDENCE - Facts - Pleadings - Facts are pleaded and documents tendered in support thereof - Hence facts are pleaded and not docu-

ments (H5) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

FAMILY LAW - Property - Division - Married Women's Property Act s. 17 - Empowers the trial Judge to order equal division of the property between the parties (H3) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071

MATRIMONIAL CAUSES - Void marriage - Meaning - One that produces no legal consequences - That is a marriage that never took place - But under Matrimonial Causes Act s. 69 - It is still considered as a marriage (H1) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), ss. 233(2)(b)(c), 246(1)(b)(3), 285(6)(7) Madumere v. Okwara p. 2955, ss. 36(1), 233(1), 246(3), 285(7) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027, s. 36(1) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

Court of Appeal Act, s. 16 Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd. p. 2937

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 3 r. 2(6) Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd. p. 2937

Criminal Code Cap. 30 vol. II Laws of Oyo State of Nigeria 1978, s. 319(1) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

Criminal Procedure Act, s. 381 Afolabi v. State p. 2841

Criminal Procedure Law Cap. 31 Laws of Oyo State of Nigeria 1978, s. 340(1)(2) Babatunde v. State p. 2895

Electoral Act 2011, s. 87(9) Opara v. Amadi p. 3027

Evidence Act Cap. E14 LFN 2004, ss. 138(1), 149(d), 168(1) Aliyu

**x    INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2013) 6 KLR**

v. State p. 2873

Evidence Act LFN 1990, s. 27 Afolabi v. State p. 2841, s. 44  
Okwaranonobi v. Mbadugha p. 3007

Penal Code, s. 221 Aliyu v. State p. 2873

Robbery & Firearms Special Provisions Act 1990, ss. 1(2)(a), 5(a), 15  
Afolabi v. State p. 2841

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Halilco Nig. Ltd. v. Equity Bank Nig. Ltd.  
p. 2937

**COURT OF APPEAL**

Arbitration & Conciliation Act Cap. 19 LFN 1990, ss. 52 Continental  
Sales Ltd. v. R. Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA

English Arbitration Act 1996, ss. 14, 76 Continental Sales Ltd. v. R.  
Shipping Inc. p. 3053 CA

Evidence Act, s. 97, 132(i) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p. 3071 CA

Married Women's Property Act, s. 17 Oghoyone v. Oghoyone p.  
3071 CA

Matrimonial Causes Act, ss. 3(1), 69, 72(1)(2) Oghoyone v. Oghoyone  
p. 3071 CA